Original article

Clinical and microbiological profile of Acute Dengue infection in teaching hospital

B. Manohar¹, B.Sasi Kumar², Lakshmi Prasanna³, Shankar Reddy Dudala⁴, C Naveen Kumar⁵, A.Sailaja⁶

- ¹Professor inDepartment of Pediatrics, Sri Venkateswara Medical College, Tirupati, A.P.
- ²Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatrics, Sri Venkateswara Medical College, Tirupati, A.P.
- ³Post graduate, Department of Pediatrics, Sri Venkateswara Medical College, Tirupati
- ⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, S.V. Medical College, Tirupati
- ⁵Post graduate, Department of Pediatrics, Sri Venkateswara Medical College, Tirupati, A.P.
- ⁶Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatrics, Sri Venkateswara Medical College, Tirupati, A.P.

Corresponding author: Dr B. Manohar

Abstract:

Over the past two decades, there has been global increase in the frequency of dengue fever, dengue hemorrhagic fever and its epidemics, with a concomitant increase in disease incidence. Objective: To study the clinical and microbiological profile of Dengue infection and correlating clinical and microbiological profile in children in S.V.R.R.G.G.H, Tirupati.Hospital based Prospective study included Children age group of 1-12 years presenting with fever assessed clinically, serologically and managed as for WHO protocol and will be followed for outcome. Of the 514 children tested 248(48.2%) were found to be positive for IgM antibodies to dengue by IgM capture.

Key Words: Denguefever; dengue hemorrhagic fever

Introduction:

Dengue fever is an important arthropod (mosquito) borne viral disease of tropics and subtropics affecting urban and periurban areas. It is a self limiting disease transmitted by bite of an infected female Aedes mosquito [1]. Dengue virus belongs to Arbovirus group, Family Flaviviridiae, Genus Flavivirus and Species Dengue virus. Dengue fever is characterized by fever, headache, myalgia, arthralgia, rash, nausea and vomiting affecting mainly younger age group. The presentation of dengue fever varies from asymptomatic to symptomatic. In symptomatic patients it presents as classical dengue fever, dengue

hemorrhagic fever or dengue shock syndrome [2]. It is estimated that each year, 50 million infections occur with five lakh cases of dengue hemorrhagic fever and at least 12,000 deaths mainly among children, although facilities could be twice as high [3]. Currently the disease is endemic in all continents except Europe [4].

In 2008, for SEAR as a whole, there is a about 18% increase in the number of reported cases and about 15% increase in the number of reported dengue deaths as compared to the same period in the previous year. The case fatality rates are high in major endemic countries (about 3.5%) [4].

Viral antigen detection

The NS1 gene product is a glycoprotein produced by all flaviviruses and is essential for Replication and viability of the virus. The protein is secreted by mammalian cells but not by Insect cells. NS1 antigen appears as early as Day 1 after the onset of the fever and declines to undetectable levels by 5–6 days. Hence, tests based on this antigen can be used for early diagnosis. ELISA and dot blot assays directed against the envelop/membrane (EM) antigens and nonstructural protein 1 (NS1) demonstrated that this antigen is present in high concentrations in the sera of the dengue virus-infected patients during the early clinical phase of the disease and can be detectedin both patients with primary and secondary dengue infections for up to six days after the onset of the illness. Besides providing an early diagnostic marker for clinical management, it may also facilitate the improvement of epidemiological surveys of dengue infection [5].

Serologic diagnosis:

Five basic serologic tests have been routinely used for diagnosis of denuge infection. They are hemagglutination inhibition test(HI), complement fixation test (CF), neutralization test(NT), immunoglobulin M (IgM) capture ELISA and indirect immunoglobulin G (IgG)ELISA [5].

MAC-ELISA has become the most widely used serologic test for dengue diagnosis in the past few years. It is a simple, rapid test that requires very little sohphisticated equipment. Anti-dengue IgM antibody develops a little faster than IgG antibody. Nearly all patients develop detectable IgM antibody 6 to 10 days after onset of illness. The IgM antibody is produced by patients with both primary and

secondary dengue infections IgM antibody titres in primary infections are significantly higher than in secondary infections.

MAC-ELISA has become an invaluable tool for surveillance of dengue, DHF and DSS. In areas where dengue is not endemic, it can be used in clinical surveillance for viral illness or for random, population-based sero surveys, with the certain that any positive results detected indicate recent infections(within the last 2 to 3 months).

But this test is very non-specific and exhibits the same broad cross reactivity among Flaviviruses as the HI test does; therefore it cannot be used to identify the infecting dengue virus serotype [5].

Aims & objective: To study the clinical and microbiological profile of Dengue fever in children in S.V.R.R.G.G.H, Tirupati.To study the outcome of Dengue fever correlating the microbiological profile.

Material & methods

- **DESIGN:** Prospective study.
- > **SETTING:** Department of Pediatrics, Sri VenkateswaraRamnarainRuia Government General Hospital, Tirupati
- > **PERIOD OF STUDY:**Two years from September 2010 to August 2012.
- METHOD: Children age group of 1-12 years presenting with fever and other features suggestive of Dengue fever according to WHO guidelines will be assessed clinically, serologically and managed as per WHO protocol and will be followed for outcome.

All the children are subjected for following investigations

- Complete Blood Picture.
- IgM antibody detection.(SD Dengue IgM Capture Elisa kit)

Indian Journal of Basic and Applied Medical Research; March 2015: Vol.-4, Issue- 2, P. 401-408

- NS1Antigen detection(Panbio Dengue Early ELISA kit)
- Other relevant investigations for renal, liver and other functions.

Inclusion criteria

- ❖ Children age group 1 12 years.
- Children's with fever and other features suggestive Dengue fever according to WHO guidelines { headache, retro orbital pain, myalgia / arthralgia, rash, haemorrhagic manifestations, thrombocytopenia and leukopenia }.

Exclusion Criteria

• Those with other viral fevers with thrombocytopenia.

- Those with positive for Malaria parasite (All species).
- Those with acute and chronic liver disease.
- Those with blood dyscrasias.

The Panbio Dengue Early ELISA is a dengue NS1 antigen capture Elisa. It is for qualitative detection of NS1 Ag in human serum. SD Dengue IgM Capture Elisa kit is used for qualitative detection of Ig M dengue antibodies specific to Dengue virus in human serum.

Results

The following observations were made in 514 cases with symptoms suggestive of Dengue fever Statistical analysis done by using MS EXCEL and EPI INFO

Table 1: Age group vs IgM category

Age group (Years)	IgM Categorization	IgM Categorization			
	Positive (%)	Negative (%)			
1 – 3	72 (47.1)	81 (52.9)	153 (100.0)		
4 – 6	100 (48.1)	108 (51.9)	208 (100.0)		
7 – 9	47 (51.1)	45 (48.9)	92 (100.0)		
10 – 12	29 (47.5)	32 (52.5)	61 (100.0)		
Total	248 (48.2)	266 (51.8)	514 (100.0)		

 $\chi^2 = 0.40$; P = 0.93; NS

Table 2: DHF and DSS by IgM Category

DHF	IgM categorization		Total	DSS	IgM Catego	Total	
	Positive	Neagtive			Positive	Neagtive	
	(%)	(%)			(%)	(%)	
Positive	209 (99.5)	1 (0.5)	210	Positive	210 (99.5)	1 (0.5)	211
			(100.0)				(100.0)
Negative	39 (12.8)	265 (87.2)	304	Negative	38 (12.5)	265 (87.7)	303
			(100.0)				(100.0)
Total	248 (48.2)	266 (51.8)	514	Total	248 (48.2)	266 (51.8)	514
			(100.0)				(100.0)

 $\chi^2 = 373.8$; P < 0.001; S

 $\chi^2 = 376.9$; P < 0.001; S

Table 3:DHF and DSS by NS1 category

	NS1		Total (%)		NS1		Total (%)
DHF	Positive	Negative		DSS	Positive	Negative	
	(%)	(%)			(%)	(%)	
Positive	110 (52.4)	100 (41.6)	210 (100.0)		110 (52.1)	101 (47.9)	211 (100.0)
Negative	40 (13.2)	264 (86.8)	304 (100.0)		40 (13.2)	263 (86.8)	303 (100.0)
Total	150 (29.2)	364 (70.8)	514 (100.0)		150 (29.2)	364 (70.8)	514 (100.0)

$$\chi^2 = 92.4$$
; $P < 0.001$; S

$$\chi^2 = 91.2$$
; $P < 0.001$; S

Dengue hemorrhagic fever and Dengue shock syndrome more common in children with NS1 Ag test positive

Table 4: Platelet count by DHF and DSS category

Platelet count	DHF Categoria	zation		DSS Categorization			
	Positive (%)	Negative (%)	Total	Positive	Negative	_	
				(%)	(%)		
1 lakh-1.5	5 (11.1)	40 (88.9)	45 (100.0)	5 (11.1)	40 (88.9)	45 (100.0)	
lakhs							
0.5 lakh-1 lakh	3 (6.7)	222 (93.3)	225 (100.0)	4 (1.8)	222 (98.2)	225	
						(100.0)	
<0.5 lakh	203 (83.2)	41 (16.9)	244 (100.0)	203 (83.2)	41 (16.9)	244	
						(100.0)	
Total	211 (41.1)	303 (58.9)	514 (100.0)	211 (41.1)	303 (58.9)	514	
						(100.0)	

 $\chi^2 = 342.3$; P < 0.001; $S \chi^2 = 338.5$; P < 0.001; S

Hemorrhagic manifestations and shock are more common in children with platelet count < 50,000 .i.e., 83.2%

Table 5: Symptoms among patients by DHF and DSS

S.No	Symptom	DHF			DSS			
5.110	Symptom	Yes (%)	No (%)	P value	Yes (%)	No (%)	P value	
1	Headache	109 (51.9)	149 (49.0)	0.51; NS	110	148	0.46; NS	
					(52.1)	(48.8)		
2	Retro-orbital pain	73 (34.8)	106 (34.9)	0.98; NS	73	106	0.98; NS	
					(34.8)	(34.9)		
3	Fatigue	110 (52.4)	154 (50.7)	0.49; NS	111	149	0.44; NS	
					(52.6)	(49.2)		

				(77.3)	(75.2)	
T				(77.5)	(13.2)	
Vomitings	162 (77.1)	229 (75.3)	0.63; NS	163	228	0.60; NS
				(77.3)	(75.2)	
Arthralgia	162 (77.1)	229 (75.3)	0.63; NS	163	228	0.60; NS
				(77.3)	(75.2)	
Body pains	162 (77.1)	229 (75.3)	0.63; NS	163	228	0.60; NS
				(77.3)	(75.2)	
Poor intake	162 (77.1)	229 (75.3)	0.63; NS	163	228	0.60; NS
				(77.3)	(75.2)	
Skin bleeds	210 (100.0)	46 (15.1)	<0.001; S	210	46	<0.001;
				(100.0)	(15.1)	S
Epistaxis	155 (73.8)	0 (0.0)	<0.001; S	155	0 (0.0)	<0.001;
				(73.8)		S
Haematemesis	102 (48.6)	0 (0.0)	<0.001; S	102	0 (0.0)	<0.001;
				(48.3)		S
Melaena	210 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	<0.001; S	210	0 (0.0)	<0.001;
				(100.0)		S
Convulsions	10 (4.8)	7 (2.3)	0.12; NS	10 (4.8)	7 (2.3)	0.12; NS
Conjunctival suffusion	162 (77.1)	229 (75.3)	0.63; NS	163	228	0.60; NS
				(77.3)	(75.2)	
Hepatomegaly	161 (76.7)	229 (75.3)	0.72; NS	162	228	0.69; NS
				(76.8)	(75.2)	
	110 (15 0)	1.50 (10.0)	0.40.375		1.10	
Splenomegaly	110 (47.6)	150 (49.3)	0.49; NS			0.44; NS
				(52.6)	(49.2)	
Tourniquet test	110 (47.6)	150 (49.3)	0.49; NS	111	149	0.44; NS
				(52.6)	(49.2)	
Facial puffusion	162 (77.1)	229 (75.3)	0.63; NS	163	228	0.60; NS
				(77.3)	(75.2)	
Ascites	162 (77.1)	228 (75.0)	0.57; NS	163	227	0.54; NS
				(77.3)	(74.9)	
	(5 (21.0)	100 (32.9)	0.64; NS	66	99	0.73; NS
Pedal edema	65 (31.0)	100 (32.9)	0.04, NS	00	22	0.75, 145
	Body pains Poor intake Skin bleeds Epistaxis Haematemesis Melaena Convulsions Conjunctival suffusion Hepatomegaly Splenomegaly Tourniquet test Facial puffusion	Body pains 162 (77.1) Poor intake 162 (77.1) Skin bleeds 210 (100.0) Epistaxis 155 (73.8) Haematemesis 102 (48.6) Melaena 210 (100.0) Convulsions 10 (4.8) Conjunctival suffusion 162 (77.1) Hepatomegaly 161 (76.7) Splenomegaly 110 (47.6) Tourniquet test 110 (47.6) Facial puffusion 162 (77.1)	Body pains 162 (77.1) 229 (75.3) Poor intake 162 (77.1) 229 (75.3) Skin bleeds 210 (100.0) 46 (15.1) Epistaxis 155 (73.8) 0 (0.0) Haematemesis 102 (48.6) 0 (0.0) Melaena 210 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Convulsions 10 (4.8) 7 (2.3) Conjunctival suffusion 162 (77.1) 229 (75.3) Hepatomegaly 161 (76.7) 229 (75.3) Splenomegaly 110 (47.6) 150 (49.3) Tourniquet test 110 (47.6) 150 (49.3) Facial puffusion 162 (77.1) 229 (75.3)	Body pains 162 (77.1) 229 (75.3) 0.63; NS Poor intake 162 (77.1) 229 (75.3) 0.63; NS Skin bleeds 210 (100.0) 46 (15.1) <0.001; S	Arthralgia 162 (77.1) 229 (75.3) 0.63; NS 163 (77.3) Body pains 162 (77.1) 229 (75.3) 0.63; NS 163 (77.3) Poor intake 162 (77.1) 229 (75.3) 0.63; NS 163 (77.3) Skin bleeds 210 (100.0) 46 (15.1) <0.001; S	Arthralgia 162 (77.1) 229 (75.3) 0.63; NS 163 228 (77.3) (75.2) Body pains 162 (77.1) 229 (75.3) 0.63; NS 163 228 (77.3) (75.2) Poor intake 162 (77.1) 229 (75.3) 0.63; NS 163 228 (77.3) (75.2) Skin bleeds 210 (100.0) 46 (15.1) <0.001; S 210 46 (100.0) (15.1) Epistaxis 155 (73.8) 0 (0.0) <0.001; S 155 0 (0.0) (73.8) Haematemesis 102 (48.6) 0 (0.0) <0.001; S 102 0 (0.0) (48.3) Melaena 210 (100.0) 0 (0.0) <0.001; S 210 0 (0.0) (100.0) Convulsions 10 (4.8) 7 (2.3) 0.12; NS 10 (4.8) 7 (2.3) Conjunctival suffusion 162 (77.1) 229 (75.3) 0.63; NS 163 228 (77.3) (75.2) Hepatomegaly 110 (47.6) 150 (49.3) 0.49; NS 111 149 (52.6) (49.2) Tourniquet test 110 (47.6) 150 (49.3) 0.49; NS 111 149 (52.6) (49.2) Facial puffusion 162 (77.1) 229 (75.3) 0.63; NS 163 228 (77.3) (75.2) Ascites 162 (77.1) 229 (75.3) 0.63; NS 163 228 (77.3) (75.2)

2	21	Pleural effusion	30 (14.3)	49 (16.1)	0.57; NS	66	99	0.73; NS
						(31.3)	(32.7)	

[More common bleeding manifestations in dengue hemorrhagicfever and dengue shock syndrome were skin bleeds and meleana followed by epistaxis . Table 6 : Outcome:

Most common non bleeding manifestations in dengue hemorrhagic fever were pain abdomen , vomiting, arthralgia , body pains]

S.No	Complication	No.of Patients	Percentage
1.	DHF	210	40.9
2.	DSS	211	41.1
3.	ARDS	40	7.8
4.	Encephalopathy	17	3.3

Major complication observed in this study was dengue shock syndrome (41.1%) followed by dengue hemorrhagic fever 40.9 %, ARDS was seen in 7.8% children and encephalopathy was seen in 3.3% children

Discussion

Among 514 cases tested 248(48.2%) were found to be positive for IgM antibodies to dengue by IgM capture. ELISA method .Of 514 cases 137 (48.9%) were positive among 280 males, 111(47.4) were positive among 234 females. In present study the ratio of positive cases among the males and females was 1.23:1. Similar results were found in studies conducted by Ira shah et al (2004) (48.44%) [6] ,S.L.Hoti et al (2004) (50.6%) [7],B.Mustafa MEH et al (36.9%)(2006)[8].

In this study Ns1Ag test was positive 29.2% cases, similar observation were seen in study by B.Mustafa MEH et al (31.2%)(2006) [8]. In our study there is strong correlation present between NS1Ag positivity and Dengue hemorrhagic fever and dengue shock syndrome complications. Mean ge of presentation reported by different author's are as

follows- IraSha et al - 6.1 years [6], Hoti et al 1-15 years [7], Raju BJ and Rajaram G -0-10 age group [9].In the present study also most of the reported cases were from the age group of 1-6 yr.

In the present study the most common clinical presentation along with fever was pain abdomen, vomiting, arthralgia, bodypains, poor intake facial puffiness and abdominal distention. Similar observations were made in study conducted by et al(2006) [10]Gurdeep Neeraja et al(2008)[11], Manjith Narayana et al(2002) [12], Agarwal et al(1998)[13]. In present study most common bleeding manifestation in dengue hemorrhagic fever patients were skin bleeds(100%) and melena (100%) followed by epistaxis 73.8% and hematemesis 48.6%.in study by Shah G.S. et al (2006) [14] common bleeding manifestation was skin bleeds 59% .in study by Gurdeep.S.Dhooria et al(2008) [11] most common bleeding manifestation was petechiae in 85% followed by melena 6% echymosis 2.5% and epistaxis 2.5%. In our study dengue fever present in 58.9%, dengue hemorrhagic fever in 40.9%, dengue shock syndrome in 41.1% of cases. In study by Gurdeep.S.Dhooria et al(2008) [11].92% of cases were dengue hemorrhagicfever, 7.4% cases presented in dengue shock syndrome.In current study platelet count <50,000 seen in dengue hemorrhagic fever patients significantly in 83.2% of cases.In this study good correlation seen between thrombocytopenia and bleeding manifestation.A study by Kamath et al(2006)[15] reported that platelet count <50,000 were 62.3% and same correlation seen as our study.In present study encephalopathy was known to occur in 3.3% of cases. Similar observations noted in studies done by Gurdeep.S.Dhooria et al(2008), (3.7%) [11].

Limitations of the study: Sample size is small.MAC ELISA test is very non-specific and exhibits the same broad cross reactivity among Flaviviruses

The isolation of dengue viruses or demonstration of dengue viral genome sequences is useful for confirmation of dengue virus infection. The detection of IgM dengue antibodies by capture ELISA and NS1 Ag were helpful for diagnosis of acute dengue virus infection. The serological diagnosis of dengue fever has a role in categorizing primary and secondary infection and it also serves as a predictor of disease progression and mortality especially in severe forms.i.e. dengue hemorrhagic fever/ dengue shock syndrome.

What's new: Early detection especially in endemic areas by rapid screening of cases helps the public health authorities to take appropriate control measures to prevent the spread of the disease and also helps in early management of cases.

Conclusion

References:

- 1. Park K. Park's text book of preventive and social medicine. 20th ed. Jabalpur: M/s BanarsidasBhanot;2009. Chapter 5, Epidemiology of Communicable Diseases: Dengue. p. 218-22.
- 2. Suryakantha AH. Community Medicine with recent advances. 1st ed. New Delhi: Jitendar P Vij. Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers (P) Ltd; 2009. Chapter 19, Epidemiology of Communicable Diseases. p. 322-41.
- 3. Comprehensive Guidelines for Prevention and Control of Dengue and Dengue Haemorrhagic Fever Revised and *expanded edition*, WHO, 2011.
- 4. *Dengue guidelines for diagnosis*, treatment, prevention and control,new edition,2009, A joint publication of the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Special Programme for Researchand Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR)
- 5. Duane J Gubler. Dengue and Dengue hemorrhagic fever. Clinical Microbiology Reviews; 1998:7:480-496.
- 6. Ira Shah and BhushanKatira.Clinical and Laboratory Abnormalities due to Dengue in Hospitalized children in Mumbai in 2004.
- 7. Hoti S L,Soundravally R,Rajendran G,Das L K, Ravi R and Das P K. Dengue and denguehemorrhagic fever outbreak in Pondicherry, South India, during 2003-2004, Emergence of DENV -3.
- 8. B.Mustafa, A W Asmah Hani. Epidemiological and clinical features of Dengue versus other Acute Febrile Illnesses Amongst patients seen at Government polyclinics. Med J Malaysia vol. 65 Nov4 December 2010:293-298.

- 9. Raju BJ and Rajaram G. Prevalence of dengue fever and dengue hemorrhagic fever in government general hospital Tirupati. International Journal of Research in Health Sciences. July –Sept 2013; 1(1):23-7
- Neeraja M,Lakshmi V, Teja V D, Umabala P, Subbalakshmi M V.Serodiagnosis of Dengue virus infection in patients presenting to a Tertiary care Hospital. Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2006:24 (4):280-2.
- 11. Gurdeep .S .Dhooria, Deepak Bhat, Harmesh S Bains. Clinical Profile and Out come in Children of Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever in North India. Iran J Pediatr. Sep 2008; Vol 18(No 3), Pp222-228.
- 12. ManjithNarayanan ,M.A.Aravind,N.Thilothammal.Dengue Fever Epidemic in Chennai-A Study of clinical Profile and Outcome. Indian pediatrics 2002;39;1027-1033.
- 13. Aggarwal A, Chandra J,AnejaS ,et al.Anepidemic of dengue hemorrhagic fever and dengue shock syndrome in children in Delhi. Indian J Peadiatr.1998;35(8);727-32.
- 14. Shah G S,Islam S, Das B K. Clinical and laboratory profile of dengue infection in children , Kathmandu University.Med. J. 2006:vol 4 No. 1 ,Issue 13:40-43.
- 15. Kamath SR, Ranjith S. Clinical features, complications and atypical manifestations of children with severe forms of dengue hemorrhagic fever in South India. Indian J pediatr. 2006;73[10]:889-95.